DAVID W. PIMENTEL,

VS.

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

COUNTY OF SONOMA, et al.,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OAKLAND DIVISION

L Case No. C

Case No: C 08-2121 SBA

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Plaintiff failed to appear for a telephonic Case Management Conference set for October 8, 2008. Dkt. 34. On October 14, 2008, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause as to why the case should not be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute. Id. The Court set a hearing for November 12, 2008, during which Plaintiff informed the Court that he was unable to appear as a result with an emergency involving his grandmother. Dkt. 36. The Court vacated its Order to Show Cause. Id. On February 25, 2009, a telephonic Case Management Conference was schedule and Plaintiff again failed to appear. Dkt. 46. The case was continued to April 1, 2009 for an Order to Show Cause as to why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Id. Although the Order to Show Cause hearing was not held, Plaintiff has made no contact with the Court or otherwise prosecuted this action since he filed his last case management statement on February 18, 2009. Dkt. 44. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Plaintiff shall show cause in writing why the instant action should not be dismissed under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute. Within ten (10) days of the date this order is filed, Plaintiff shall file a memorandum explaining why the action should not be dismissed. The memorandum, which shall be entitled, "Response to Order to Show Cause," shall set forth the nature of the

Case 4:08-cv-02121-SBA Document 50 Filed 08/17/11 Page 2 of 3

cause, its present status, the reason it has not been brought to trial or otherwise terminated, any basis for opposing dismissal and its expected course if not dismissed. FAILURE TO FULLY COMPLY WITH THIS ORDER WILL BE DEEMED SUFFICIENT GROUNDS TO DISMISS THE ACTION, WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: August 17, 2011 United States District Judge

- 1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	DIMENTEL 44-1
4	PIMENTEL et al,
5	Plaintiff,
6	V.
7	COUNTY OF SONOMA et al,
8	Defendant.
9	Case Number: CV08-02121 SBA
10	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
11	
12	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
13	That on August 17, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
14	copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle
15	located in the Clerk's office.
16	
17	
18	David W. Pimentel 1192 Liberty Road
19	Petaluma, CA 94952
20	Dated: August 17, 2011
21	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
22	By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	- 3 -